UNIVERSITY OF CAPE TOWN RULES AND PROCEDURE FOR THE EXAMINATION OF MASTER'S DEGREE DISSERTATIONS

1. Approval and appointment of external examiners for dissertations.

The number of examiners appointed to examine a dissertation may vary depending on the credit value of the dissertation. The following are the minimum requirements for dissertations by credit weight:

- 1. For a **full dissertation**, two examiners from outside the University must be appointed per candidate. Where possible, three such possible examiners should be *nominated* by the HoD for approval, two of whom are appointed from the outset, and one kept as an alternate (i.e. the third should be approved as a reserve).
- 2. For a **minor dissertation of 120 or 90 HEQF credits** two examiners are required per candidate, at least one of which must be from outside the University.
- 3. For a **minor dissertation of 60 HEQF credits,** two examiners are required, one of which must be from outside the University. The examiner from outside the University may examine the dissertations of a group or of the whole class where this is appropriate.
- 4. For examination of work submitted in fulfilment of the professional masters' research component, refer to the annexure.

An examiner from outside the University is ordinarily somebody who is external to the University and has no current or recent affiliation to the University. In rare cases where it can be shown that no suitably qualified person outside the University can be found to examine a particular dissertation, Senate may approve the appointment of an examiner who is affiliated to the University but not involved in teaching/supervising the candidate. A full motivation establishing that there is no appropriate examiner outside UCT in the particular field is required in such cases, and must be submitted to the Dean.

The examiners from outside the University may be from elsewhere in South Africa or outside South Africa which ever is more appropriate.

Examiners for dissertations are appointed by the Dean or Faculty Board on behalf of Senate. Usually nominations are circulated to the Committee of Assessors¹ for recommendation to the Dean and then published in the DC to obtain Faculty Board ratification. (The Dean ordinarily acts on behalf of the Board in approving examiners on recommendation of the CoA, and the names of the approved examiners must be published in the DC for ratification.)

The candidate's supervisor may not examine the dissertation.

¹ This could be a similar structure in a faculty that does not appoint Committees of Assessors for master's degree candidates. Whatever structure a faculty uses must have sufficient subject experts in the candidate's field to be able to judge the examinations, and to assess the examiners' reports.

The candidate is not informed of the identity of the examiners until after the examination, and then only with their permission. The examiners may not be contacted about the dissertation by the supervisor/department while the dissertation is under examination.

2. Guidelines for dealing with possible conflicts of interest.

Examiners should both be objective and be perceived to be objective.

To ensure the perception of objectivity, no appointment should be made where there is a close relationship, or any familial relationship between student and examiner. Furthermore, reciprocity amongst examiners should be avoided. It is important to avoid the perception that objectivity may be compromised where A, B and C examine one another's students. The perception that objectivity may be lost rather than proven evidence that this is so, is sufficient to recommend that reciprocity be avoided.

Care should be taken to avoid appointing examiners who have been involved in the student's research and whose objectivity could thus be compromised, or perceived to be compromised.

3. Role and composition of the Committee of Assessors (CoA)

The role of the CoA is to assess. To do this, at least some of the members of the CoA must be sufficiently expert in the discipline to read and engage with the examiners' reports, and if necessary, to read the dissertation itself.

The CoA does not merely ratify the examiners' recommendations, or count votes, or settle on an average between diverging reports. It must be able to judge the worth of the examiners' reports, and if necessary, refer to the dissertation to do so. Where the CoA is able to assess, the need to appoint an assessor should be infrequent.

In assessing, the CoA may set aside a particular examiner's report if it finds that it is inadequate in a significant way.

It is thus recommended that the CoA be composed of a small group of *core members* to ensure consistency and continuity, as well as *expert members* appointed per candidate. In faculties with diverse disciplines a CoA that is not composed per candidate, may not be able to assess the reports of the examiners. A candidate is entitled to be assessed by experts in his or her discipline who are familiar with the literature as well as methodological and epistemological issues in the discipline.

4. Role of the supervisor in examination

The supervisor usually provides a non-evaluative report to the CoA on the supervision when the student submits the dissertation for examination. The supervisor may have no contact about the dissertation with examiners while the dissertation is under examination or prior to the result being decided.

The supervisor may be shown the examiners' reports and be invited to comment (along with the HoD) on these to the CoA. The supervisor may be invited to attend the meeting of the CoA to answer questions about the examiners' reports and defend a position, but the supervisor is not a *member* of the CoA for the candidate and should not be present when the

CoA makes its decision. (Where he or she is a regular member of the CoA, he or she must recuse when the CoA considers any candidate he or she supervised, and this recusal must be recorded).

The supervisor may not be an examiner.

5. Role of an assessor

Where the CoA, having considered the reports of the examiners is not able to decide the result, it may appoint an internal or external assessor. The purpose in so doing is to seek an additional view to the view(s) of the CoA and examiners. Depending on the reason for the CoA not being able to reach a decision an assessor may be asked to do one or both of the following:

- Assess the dissertation

 The assessor must read the dissertation and express a view as if he or she were an examiner
- Assess the examiners' reports on the dissertation

 The assessor must read the dissertation and interpret the examiner's reports.

BfGS 15 February 2011

Revised November 2013 after the introduction of professional master's degrees Revised May 2016. Reference to examination of professional master's research in a separate annexure

Revised September 2016 following discussion at SEC.

SUPERVISION AND EXAMINATION OF RESEARCH IN THE PROFESSIONAL MASTER'S DEGREE

Annexure to the Rules and Procedures for the Examination of Master's Degree Dissertations

Preamble

The Higher Education Qualifications Sub-Framework (HEQSF) of the National Qualifications Framework (gazetted in August 2013) describes a Master's Degree (Professional) and includes in its requirements for the successful completion of the degree that:

"... a professional Master's degree must include an independent study component that comprises at least a quarter of the total credits, which must be at NQF level 9, consisting of either a single research or technical project or a series of smaller projects demonstrating innovation or professional expertise."

1. Supervision of the professional Master's research project or projects

- 1.1. For the award of a general Master's degree, Rule GM3 requires advanced study, or an approved research project, under the guidance of a supervisor. The general Master's degree typically requires a supervised dissertation to be presented for examination. For the professional Master's degree, an independent study component that may comprise a series of smaller projects, including tasks, assignments, technical reports, designs, etc, is required.
- 1.2. The role of the supervisor in the professional Master's degree may differ from that of the supervisor in a general Master's Degree and alternative models of supervision, under the guidance of a University academic with the requisite expertise, may be agreed upon and made available to the student by the Department.

2. Examination of the professional Master's research project or projects

- 2.1. No person involved in the supervision of the professional Master's research project or projects may examine the project or projects.
- 2.2. Two examiners are required to examine each research project or projects in a professional Master's degree, one of which must be external to the University. Provided that the course convenor has not supervised the research project, he or she may examine, as the internal examiner, the project or projects. The internal examiner must submit his or her examination report and recommendations together with the research projects to the external examiner for examination. The external examiner examines each project using the rubric and examiner's report provided to ensure the same standard is used for the allocation of marks by the two examiners. The examiners may examine the projects of a set of students or the whole class. 2.4
- 2.3. The two examiners' reports and recommendations must be submitted to the Head of Department or to a committee of assessors for consideration and a recommendation to the Faculty Examinations Committee.
- 2.4. Where a Faculty chooses, it may examine the research component of a professional master's degree in the same manner as a dissertation is examined.

¹ An examiner from outside the University is ordinarily somebody who is external to the University and has no current or recent affiliation to the University. An examiner from outside the University may be from elsewhere in South Africa or outside South Africa if it is appropriate in the circumstances.